



# FY2023 Detroit Continuum of Care Project Priority Ranking List September 13, 2023

## Notification of Acceptance of Project for Submission to HUD

This document serves as notice that all renewal and new projects listed on the accompanying project priority listing have been accepted by the CoC for submission to HUD as a part of the FY2023 Continuum of Care application. This project priority ranking was approved by the Detroit CoC Board of Directors on September 13, 2023. These projects will be submitted to HUD by September 26, 2023 in rank order as given in the accompanying list. This document was made available on the website of the Collaborative Applicant, the Homeless Action Network of Detroit (HAND) on September 13, 2023, and may be accessed [here](#). This list has been distributed via email to all project applicants.

## FY2023 Project Priority Ranking Policies

The Detroit CoC Board approved the FY2023 Project Priority Ranking policies on August 7, 2023. These policies may be accessed from HAND's website [here](#). The policies are also provided at the end of this document, following the list of projects. Also given here are the recommendations made, and action taken, in response to this policy language:

### Final Ranking List Review and Recommendation

*Following the submission, review, and scoring of all renewal and new project applications.... The Values & Funding Priority Committee may recommend to the CoC board that a project(s) that would have been in Tier 2 because of the ranking policies instead be placed into Tier 1. If the Committee chooses to move a Tier 2 project up to Tier 1, it will need to provide rationale for the recommendation. The Committee will present its final recommended project ranking list to the CoC board in accordance with the timeframe required by HUD. The CoC board will make the final decision on the project ranking list.*

### Values & Funding Priorities Committee Recommendation

Following a review of the ranked projects, the Values & Funding Priorities Committee did not recommend that any project placed into Tier 2 instead be placed into Tier 1. HUD will fund Tier 2 projects according to both the CoC application score and the project score, as described in the FY2023 CoC Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO).

## Acceptance of Projects for Ranking and Submission to HUD

### Renewal Projects

All renewal projects were accepted for submission to HUD. As an outcome of the CoC's project scoring and appeals process, several projects underwent a partial reallocation of their budgets, as reflected in the accompanying project listing.

### New Projects: CoC Bonus

The Detroit CoC Board made decisions on September 11, 2023 on new projects to be submitted with CoC Bonus and reallocated funding, as summarized in the table below. All applications submitted for CoC Bonus funding were accepted to be submitted to HUD.

Number of Applications Submitted	Number of Applications Approved for Submission to HUD with CoC Bonus + Reallocated Funding	Number of Applications Rejected
8	8	0

**New Projects: Domestic Violence Bonus Funding**

The Detroit CoC Board made decisions on September 11, 2023 on new projects to be submitted with CoC Bonus and reallocated funding, as summarized in the table below.

Number of Applications Submitted	Number of Applications Approved for Submission to HUD with DV Bonus funding	Number of Applications Rejected
3	2	1

The applicant for the project that was rejected has been informed individually of this decision.

HUD may choose to fund the Domestic Violence Bonus projects using either Domestic Violence Bonus funding or CoC Bonus funding. If the project is funded with Domestic Violence Bonus funding, all other projects ranked below this project will move up on the ranking list.

**New Project Funding Available and Requested**

The table below demonstrates the total amount of new funding available to the CoC and the total amounts requested.

	<b>Total Amount Available</b>	<b>Total Amount to be Submitted to HUD</b>	<b>Balance Not Being Requested</b>
CoC Bonus	\$2,419,580	\$2,419,580	\$0
Reallocated Funding	\$741,606	\$741,606	\$0
Domestic Violence Bonus	\$3,456,543	\$1,263,371	\$2,193,172

**CoC Planning Funding Requested**

CoC Planning funding is available to the Homeless Action Network of Detroit to allow it to fulfill its role as the Collaborative Applicant. These funds are separate from funds used to fund other CoC programs and may only be granted to the CoC's Collaborative Applicant. The final amount of CoC Planning that will be applied for in FY2023 will not exceed \$1,500,000, the total amount of CoC Planning funding available.

FY2023 Detroit CoC Project Priority Ranking List

September 13, 2023

Project Rank	Applicant Name	Project Name	Project Type	Requested Funding Amount	Reallocated Funds*	Project Score			Accepted or Rejected**
						Total % Earned on Component 2	Overall % earned on application (1st Tie breaker)	% earned on 1A (2nd tie-breaker)	
<b>TIER 1 PROJECTS</b>									
<b>RANKING POLICY #1: Renewal CoC Infrastructure</b>									
1	CHS	Coordinated Assessment & Navigation Project	CE-SSO	847,538			99%		Accepted
2	HAND	HMIS	HMIS	390,233			71%		Accepted
<b>RANKING POLICY #2: Renewal Projects with Less Than 12 Months Operation by</b>									
3	Ruth Ellis Center	Clairmount Center	PSH	221,848			100%		Accepted
4	NSO	Clay Apartments PSH	PSH	530,359			88%		Accepted
5	NLSM	Project Upward Bound	RRH	327,227			n/a		Accepted
6	AFG	DV TH-RRH	TH-RRH	565,704			93%		Accepted
7	HAND	Detroit CE-SSO	CE-SSO	959,341			N/A		Accepted
<b>RANKING POLICY #3: New CE-SSO Expansion Project</b>									
8	HAND	Detroit CE-SSO Expansion	CE-SSO	350,000	350,000		N/A		Accepted
<b>RANKING POLICY #4: Renewal PSH</b>									
9	CHS	Permanent Community Home Support II	PSH	1,438,911		100%	97%		Accepted
10	Cass	Thomasson Apartments	PSH	177,318		100%	88%		Accepted
11	DWIHN	Southwest Solutions Matrix Rental Assistance Program	PSH	348,201		100%	87%		Accepted
12	Cass	Webb Street Permanent Supportive Housing	PSH	241,586		100%	85%		Accepted
13	Cass	Travis Permanent Supportive Housing	PSH	429,971		100%	83%		Accepted
14	DWIHN	DCI/COTS Omega	PSH	546,536		100%	80%		Accepted
15	TASMD	Infinity PSH	PSH	1,147,342		100%	70%		Accepted
16	MDHHS	Detroit PSH	PSH	2,968,572		99%			Accepted
17	NSO	Supportive Housing	PSH	403,493		98%	97%		Accepted
18	NLSM	Project Hope	PSH	627,003		98%	89%		Accepted
19	NLSM	Project Hope II	PSH	852,447		98%	85%		Accepted
20	DWIHN	Detroit Central City Rental Assistance Program	PSH	397,015		96%			Accepted
21	NSO	Bell Supportive Housing Project	PSH	607,790		91%	90%		Accepted
22	SWCS	LA CONSOLIDATION	PSH	1,019,874		91%	83%		Accepted
23	Cass	Scott Permanent Supportive Housing	PSH	230,843		91%	82%		Accepted

FY2023 Detroit CoC Project Priority Ranking List

September 13, 2023

Project Rank	Applicant Name	Project Name	Project Type	Requested Funding Amount	Reallocated Funds*	Project Score			Accepted or Rejected**
						Total % Earned on Component 2	Overall % earned on application (1st Tie breaker)	% earned on 1A (2nd tie-breaker)	
24	Cass	Brady Apartments PSH	PSH	543,596		91%	77%		Accepted
25	TASMD	BEIT PSH	PSH	954,473	(106,053)	91%	66%		Accepted
26	NSO	FUSE	PSH	266,418		89%			Accepted
27	COTS	Buersmeyer Manor	PSH	154,194		87%	82%		Accepted
28	COTS	Pathways	PSH	853,814		87%	76%		Accepted
29	WMCAA	RENEWAL OF Detroit PSH	PSH	1,889,627		80%	83%		Accepted
30	CCIH	PSH Renewal FY2023	PSH	1,108,292	(123,143)	80%	68%	71%	Accepted
31	CCIH	Leasing Renewal FY2023	PSH	635,804	(70,645)	80%	68%	60%	Accepted
32	NSO	NSO/COTS	PSH	125,832		78%	78%		Accepted
33	SWCS	RA CONSOLIDATION	PSH	1,373,530		78%	74%		Accepted
34	DRMM	Cornerstone PSH	PSH	1,104,943	(368,314)	75%			Accepted
35	CHS	Permanent Community Home Support I	PSH	586,280		73%			Accepted
36	DWIHN	Detroit Central City Permanent Housing	PSH	484,217		69%			Accepted
<b>RANKING POILCY #5: Renewal DV TH-RRH</b>									
37	NLSM	Project First Steps	TH-RRH	794,157		100%			Accepted
<b>RANKING POILCY #6: Renewal RRH</b>									
38	NSO	RRH	RRH	331,234		96%			Accepted
39	NLSM	NLSM Cares	RRH	1,278,504		80%	76%		Accepted
40	SWCS	COC RRH RENEWAL	RRH	382,982	(42,553)	80%	68%		Accepted
41	NLSM	Project Permanency One (Tier 1 portion)	RRH	374,555		76%			Accepted
<b>Tier 1 Limit: \$28,871,604</b>									
<b>TIER 2 PROJECTS</b>									
41	NLSM	Project Permanency One (Tier 2 portion)	RRH	891,127		76%			Accepted
42	AFG	Detroit Youth RRH	RRH	278,079	(30,898)	72%			Accepted
43	Mariners Inn	Mariners Inn Permanent Supportive Housing***	PSH	249,927		36%			Accepted
<b>RANKING POILCY #7: Renewal TH</b>									
44	MCHS	TIPS	TH	362,392		75%			Accepted

FY2023 Detroit CoC Project Priority Ranking List

September 13, 2023

Project Rank	Applicant Name	Project Name	Project Type	Requested Funding Amount	Reallocated Funds*	Project Score			Accepted or Rejected**
						Total % Earned on Component 2	Overall % earned on application (1st Tie breaker)	% earned on 1A (2nd tie-breaker)	
<b>RANKING POILCY #8: New CoC Bonus/Reallocation (ranked according to project type, then score)</b>									
45	Southwest Housing Solutions	Campbell St. PSH	PSH	226,689	226,689		89.6%		Accepted
46	NLSM	Project Hope Expansion	PSH	431,931	164,917		76.6%		Accepted
47	AFG	Dr. Maya Angelou Village	PSH	347,116			83.4%		Accepted
48	NSO	Bell Building Expansion	PSH	744,259			79.9%		Accepted
49	Mariners Inn	The Anchor Expansion	PSH	110,929			77.1%		Accepted
50	WMCAA	Detroit PSH Expansion	PSH	548,601			72.8%		Accepted
51	Black Family Development	RRH	RRH	401,661			73.8%		Accepted
<b>RANKING POILCY #9: New DV Bonus (ranked according to project type, then score)</b>									
52	Freedom House Detroit	DV TH-RRH	TH-RRH	735,371			84.6%		Accepted
53	MCEDSV	DV CE-SSO	CE-SSO	528,000			84.1%		Accepted
<b>TOTAL RENEWAL AND NEW PROJECT FUNDING REQUESTED:</b>				<b>34,727,686</b>					

\*This column indicates if a project had funding reduced/reallocated (a negative number) or if a project is being funded with reallocated dollars (positive number)

\*\* This column indicates if a project submitted to the CoC was accepted or rejected for submission to HUD

\*\*\* Per CoC ranking policy, renewal PSH projects that score less than 90% on overall score, AND permanent housing placement/rentention, AND utilization will be ranked with renewal RRH according to % earned on Component 2. Mariners Inn's renewal PSH earned less than 90% on all three of those components and therefore is ranked with RRH projects accordingly.



**Detroit Continuum of Care**  
**FY2023 Detroit Continuum of Care Competition**  
**Project Priority Ranking Policies**  
*August 2023*

This document provides the policies by which projects seeking funding in the FY2023 Continuum of Care competition will be prioritized and ranked.

**A. Project Priority Ranking Order**

The Detroit Continuum of Care (CoC) is required to prioritize and rank projects applying for Continuum of Care (CoC) funding in the annual CoC competition. Projects seeking renewal or new funding in the FY2023 CoC competition will be prioritized and ranked as follows. Also given is the tier (Tier 1 or Tier 2) it is anticipated the projects will fall into.

	<b>Priority Ranking Order Group</b>
Anticipated Tier 1	<p><b>1.</b> The <i>CoC's renewal infrastructure projects</i> will be ranked first, by overall percentage scored on the renewal application, from highest to lowest, unless the project scores less than 90% on <b>both of the following</b>: Overall score and CAM Implementing Partner or HMIS Lead Agency Specific component, (Component 7 or Component 9). Projects scoring less than 90% on <b>both</b> components will be ranked with renewal Permanent Supportive Housing projects according to the project's overall score.</p> <p>For the purposes of project prioritization and ranking, "infrastructure projects" are defined as dedicated HMIS grants and Coordinated Entry Supportive Services Only (CE-SSO) grants.</p>
Anticipated Tier 1	<p><b>2.</b> <i>Renewal projects that have not yet completed one full calendar year of operations as of 12/31/2022</i> will be ranked in the following order by overall percentage scored on the application, from highest to lowest:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. PSH projects</li> <li>b. RRH projects</li> <li>c. TH-RRH projects</li> <li>d. CE-SSO projects</li> <li>e. Dedicated HMIS projects</li> </ul> <p><i>Note: This ranking order only applies to "stand-alone" renewal projects. Projects that received new expansion funding in FY2021 will be ranked as a renewal project according to project type in ranking order 4, 5, or 6.</i></p>
Anticipated Tier 1	<p><b>3.</b> <i>New CE-SSO Set-Aside for New CAM Lead Agency (CE-SSO):</i> A new project submitted with CoC Bonus funds, in an amount not to exceed \$350,000 will be submitted by the CAM Lead Agency.</p>
Anticipated Tier 1	<p><b>4.</b> <i>Renewal Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH)</i> projects ranked by the percentage of points earned on Component 2 (Housing Performance &amp; Quality), from highest to lowest, unless the project scores less than 90% on <b>all three of the following</b>: Overall score, Permanent Housing Placement or Retention (component 2A) and Average Utilization (component 2B). Projects scoring less than 90% on <b>all three</b> of these components will be ranked with renewal Rapid Rehousing projects according to the percentage of points earned on Component 2 (Housing Performance &amp; Quality).</p>

Priority Ranking Order Group	
Anticipated Tier 1	<b>5. Renewal Domestic Violence Joint Component Transitional Housing-Rapid Rehousing (TH-RRH) projects</b> , ranked by the percentage of points earned on Component 2 (Housing Performance & Quality), from highest to lowest, unless project scores less than 90% on <b>all three of the following</b> : Overall score, Permanent Housing Placement (component 2A) and Average Utilization (component 2B). Projects scoring less than 90% on <b>all three</b> of these components will be ranked with renewal Transitional Housing projects according to the percentage of points earned on Component 2 (Housing Performance & Quality).
Anticipated Tier 1/ Tier 2 Straddle	<b>6. Renewal Rapid Rehousing (RRH) projects</b> ranked by the percentage of points earned on Component 2 (Housing Performance & Quality), from highest to lowest, unless project scores less than 90% on <b>all three of the following</b> : Overall score, Permanent Housing Placement (component 2A) and Average Utilization (component 2B). Projects scoring less than 90% on <b>all three</b> of these components will be ranked with renewal Transitional Housing projects according to the percentage of points earned on Component 2 (Housing Performance & Quality).
Anticipated Tier 2	<b>7. Renewal Transitional Housing (TH) projects</b> ranked by the percentage of points earned on Component 2 (Housing Performance & Quality), from highest to lowest, unless project scores less than 90% on <b>all three of the following</b> : Overall score, Permanent Housing Placement (component 2A) and Average Utilization (component 2B). Projects scoring less than 90% on <b>all three</b> of these components will be ranked at the bottom of the project ranking list by the percentage of points earned on Component 2 (Housing Performance & Quality).
Anticipated Tier 2	<b>8. New, including new expansion project(s), created via reallocation and/or CoC Bonus funds</b> in the following order by overall project score: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. New or expansion PSH projects that, if funded, would bring additional units of PSH to the CoC, with a baseline goal of at least 40 new units.</li> <li>b. New or expansion PSH projects requesting supportive services funding only.</li> <li>c. Remaining new or expansion PSH projects.</li> <li>d. New or expansion RRH projects.</li> <li>e. Expansion Dedicated HMIS.</li> <li>f. Expansion CE-SSO projects (other than the set-aside listed above).</li> </ol>
Anticipated Tier 2	<b>9. New, including new expansion project(s), created via DV Bonus funds</b> in the following order by overall project score: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. New or expansion RRH projects.</li> <li>b. New or expansion TH-RRH projects.</li> <li>c. New or expansion CE-SSO projects.</li> </ol>

### **B. Exclusion or Removal from Project Ranking List**

The Detroit CoC reserves the right to exclude or remove a renewal project from the project ranking list, and consequently not submit a project for renewal funding, in the event of written notification from the local HUD Field Office that the project has been out of compliance with regulatory or programmatic requirements and has made no progress on any corrective actions as required by HUD. Any renewal projects excluded or removed from the project ranking list will be reallocated to a new project(s).

### **C. Consolidated Project Ranking**

Projects that submit as a consolidated project will be ranked as follows:

- The individual projects will be ranked according to individual project score; and

- The consolidated project will be ranked according to the highest scoring individual project included in the consolidation.

#### D. Tiebreaking Criteria

Tiebreaking criteria will be applied as follows:

Ranking order #1 (renewal Infrastructure projects):

1. First tiebreaker: the percentage earned on the project-specific scoring component (Component 7 or Component 9)
2. Second tiebreaker: renewal CE-SSO project(s) will be ranked above renewal HMIS projects, as CE-SSO projects provide direct services to people experiencing homelessness.

Ranking order #2 (renewals with less than 12 months operation):

1. First tiebreaker: the time the application was submitted to HAND, from first submitted to last.

Ranking orders #4, #5, #6, and #7 (renewal PSH, RRH, TH-RRH, and TH):

1. First tiebreaker: the overall percentage the project earned on its renewal application.
2. Second tiebreaker: the percentage earned on component 1A of the project performance in the local application (leaving with source of cash income).
3. Third tiebreaker: the percentage earned on component 1B of the project performance in the local application (leaving with source of non-cash income).

Ranking orders #8, #9 (new projects):

1. First tiebreaker for PSH, RRH, TH-RRH project applications: Percentage of points earned on past housing outcomes data. For new, non-expansion, projects this will be based on the narrative response given in the application as scored by the review committee. For expansion projects, this will be based on the score earned on component 2A of the renewal being expanded. Expansion projects still in first year of operation with no data for Component 2A will be ranked last within this tie-breaking group.

First tiebreaker for CE-SSO applications: Percentage of points earned on narrative response in the application on applicant experience in area of request as scored by the review committee.

2. Second tiebreaker for all applications: Percentage of points earned on Housing First response in the project application as scored by the review committee.

#### E. Projects Straddling Tier 1/Tier 2

If a project, once listed in ranked order, straddles the Tier 1/Tier 2 funding line with a portion of the project budget falling within Tier 1 and the remaining within Tier 2, the feasibility of the project to operate with only the Tier 1 amount will be determined as follows:

1. In the annual renewal application, agencies will indicate the minimum amount of funding needed for the renewal project to still be feasible.
2. The Values & Funding Priorities Committee will review this response for the project straddling the Tier 1/Tier 2 line and decide whether the project would be feasible at the reduced amount. If the Committee decides it will be feasible, the project will be submitted as is, straddling the Tier 1/Tier 2 line. If the Committee determines it would not be feasible, that project will be dropped down so that it is wholly in Tier 2, and the next ranked project will be moved up. The feasibility of this project will then be determined.
3. If an agency indicates a minimum amount needed to still be feasible exceeding the project's Tier 1 amount, that project will be automatically moved down into Tier 2, and the next ranked project will be moved up and the process given in #2 above will then be repeated with the next ranked project.
4. This process will continue until the following are realized:
  - a. All Tier 1 funds are allocated; OR
  - b. The amount of funds remaining in Tier 1 are a negligible amount. If this occurs, the CoC retains the discretion to allocate the remaining funds to another project in Tier 1 that can accept additional



funds. The Collaborative Applicant will make a recommendation on this allocation; this recommendation will be reviewed and approved by the CoC Board before implementing.

5. If the amount remaining in Tier 1 is of such a small amount that no project indicates it would be feasible at that reduced amount, steps 2 through 4 will not apply, but rather the projects will be ranked according to their original ranked order.

#### **F. Renewal Project Threshold Score**

All projects applying for renewal funding will be evaluated and scored on a given point scale which will be given in the FY2023 CoC Application Policies. In the FY2023 competition, renewal projects must score at least 70% of the points possible in order to be placed on the project ranking list, unless an appeal is granted. Renewal projects that do not score at least 70% will be able to submit an appeal in accordance with the Appeals Policy. Projects should anticipate the 70% threshold may increase in subsequent competitions.

#### **G. Final Ranking List Review and Recommendation**

Following the review, scoring, and appeals of renewal projects and board decisions on new project applications, a preliminary project ranking list will be developed in accordance with the above priority ranking order. This ranking list, with projects identified by name and type, will be reviewed by the Values & Funding Priorities Committee. The Values & Funding Priority Committee may recommend to the CoC board that a project(s) that would have been in Tier 2 because of the ranking policies instead be placed into Tier 1. If the Committee chooses to move a Tier 2 project up to Tier 1, it will need to provide rationale for the recommendation. The Committee will present its final recommended project ranking list to the CoC board in accordance with the timeframe required by HUD. The CoC board will make the final decision on the project ranking list.

#### **H. Renewal Project Appeals**

The process by which renewal projects may appeal their project score is given in the CoC's Appeals Policy. A project may not appeal its placement on the project priority ranking list.

#### **I. Project Priority and Ranking Policy Review Post NOFO Release**

These policies have been developed prior to the release of the FY2023 CoC Program Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO). The preliminary policies were approved noting that adjustments may need to be made following the release of the FY2023 NOFO to ensure the policies aligned with, and did not contradict, the NOFO. Following a review of the FY2023 NOFO, released on 7/5/2023, no changes were needed to this ranking order to prevent contradiction with any language in the NOFO.